arXiv:1402.6069v2 [cond-mat.str-el] 3 Mar 2014

General linear spin wave theory for incommensurate magnetic structures

S. Toth[1, 2, 3, *] and B. Lake[2, 4]

[1] *Laboratory for Neutron Scattering, PSI, CH-5232 Villigen, Switzerland*
[2] *Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin, Hahn-Meitner Platz 1, D-14109 Berlin, Germany*
[3] *Laboratory for Quantum Magnetism, ICMP, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland*
[4] *Institut für Festkörperphysik, Technische Universität Berlin, Hardenbergstraße 36, D-10623 Berlin, Germany*

(Dated: March 4, 2014)

Linear spin wave theory provides the leading term in the calculation of the excitation spectra of long-range ordered magnetic systems as a function of $1/\sqrt{S}$. This term is acquired using the Holstein-Primakoff approximation of the spin operator and valid for small δS fluctuations of the ordered moment. We propose an algorithm that allows magnetic ground states with general moment directions and incommensurate ordering wave vector using a local coordinate transformation for every spin and a rotating coordinate transformation for the incommensurability. Finally we show, how the incommensurate spin wave model simplifies the calculation of the complex spin wave spectrum of α-$CaCr_2O_4$.

PACS numbers: 75.10.Hk, 75.30.Ds, 75.30.Et

I. INTRODUCTION

Linear spin wave theory (LSWT) was first introduced by Bloch [1] and independently by Slater [2]. The description using second quantization of bosonic operators was developed by Holstein and Primakoff [3] with subsequent theoretical development by Dyson [4, 5] to described spin-wave interactions. The concept of spin waves was a milestone in understanding the magnetic correlations in ordered systems. However after decades, the focus was moved onto new areas in magnetism as new theory and materials were developed. One of the main area of recent interest is frustrated magnetism. Frustration leads to exciting novel states of matter such as spin ice[6,7], spin liquid[8] and multiferroic phases[9]. The competing nature of the interactions often leads to non-collinear magnetic structures with incommensurate order. To identify possible exchange pathways and energies in these materials, modeling the magnetic excitation spectrum is essential. Linear spin wave theory combined with neutron and high-resolution resonant inelastic X-ray scattering[10] provide a powerful toolset to understand the magnetic interactions in these materials in full details.

The spin wave excitations of long range ordered magnetic systems are well understood, however dealing with large magnetic unit cells, several competing spin-spin interactions and incommensurate magnetic order are still challenging due to the lack of a general algorithm. S. Petit [11] developed a general spin wave theory for commensurate magnetic structures. It can be applied with limitations to incommensurate order, by extending the magnetic unit cell to approximate the incommensurate magnetic ordering wave vector with a rational number. Here we propose an extension to his method, where the magnetic ordering wave vector can be arbitrary. The proposed method gives substantial simplification of the calculation. Also, since the number of spin wave modes are reduced, it facilitates our understanding of the type of correlation belonging to a certain spin wave mode. Also the formalism can provide a good starting point for higher order calculation in incommensurate structures as a function of $1/\sqrt{S}$. Recently it was shown how higher order terms in the spin wave expansion can lead to substantial magnon decay and finite lifetime in non-collinear magnets[12,13].

The algorithm of the proposed numerical method is open source and available as a Matlab toolbox[14].

The structure of the paper is the following. We introduce first the general magnetic Hamiltonian in Sec. II, then we proceed step-by-step to produce the normal spin wave modes, dynamical structure factor and the sublattice magnetization. In Sec. IV the range of solvable magnetic ground state structures will be described. In order to solve incommensurate spin waves, the exchange interactions have to fulfill certain symmetries discussed in Sec. V. Using the Holstein-Primakoff transformation, the magnetic Hamiltonian is transformed into a quadratic form of bosonic operators in Sec. VI where also external magnetic field is introduced. The quadratic form is diagonalized using the Bogoliubov transformation in Sec. VII with the less well known numerical method of Colpa [15]. The diagonalized Hamiltonian contains the dispersion relations of the normal spin wave mode. In Sec. VIII the spin-spin cor-

relation functions are extracted and the magnetization of each sublattice are calculated in Sec. IX. Sec. X describes how the method can be converted into an algorithm. As an illustration, the spin wave spectrum of α-$CaCr_2O_4$ is calculated in Sec. XI. Finally we summarize our results in Sec. XII.

II. MAGNETIC HAMILTONIAN

We would like to solve the most general magnetic Hamiltonian of interacting localized magnetic moments on a periodic lattice using LSWT. To accomplish this, a method is necessary that can deal with Hamiltonians where the quadratic spin exchange interactions are expressed with 3×3 matrices. In this case the exchange energy of two spins will be a matrix product $\mathbf{S}_i^\mathsf{T}\mathsf{J}\mathbf{S}_j$, where $\mathbf{S}_i$ is a 3×1 column vector of the $\{S_i^x, S_i^y, S_i^z\}$ spin operators of site i and J is the exchange matrix coupling the two sites. This matrix formalism includes the isotropic exchange (diagonal matrix), Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya exchange (antisymmetric matrix) and different anisotropic interactions (for example the Kitaev-exchange[16]). The single ion anisotropy can be described in a similar manner using the $\mathbf{S}_i^\mathsf{T}\mathsf{A}\mathbf{S}_i$ expression. As an example easy-axis anisotropy along the x-axis is represented by a matrix, whose only non-zero element is the first diagonal with the negative easy axis energy. Similarly any local easy axis direction can be defined by the appropriate coordinate transformation of the anisotropy matrix. Including the external magnetic field and g-tensor, we propose to solve the following Hamiltonian:

$$\mathsf{H} = \sum_{\substack{mi\\nj}} \mathbf{S}_{mi}^\mathsf{T}\mathsf{J}_{mi,nj}\mathbf{S}_{nj} + \sum_{mi} \mathbf{S}_{mi}^\mathsf{T}\mathsf{A}_{mi}\mathbf{S}_{mi} + \mu_B\mathbf{H}^\mathsf{T}\sum_{mi}\mathsf{g}_i\mathbf{S}_{mi}. \quad (1)$$

The m, n indices indexing the crystallographic unit cell (running from 1 to L), while i, j label the magnetic atoms inside the unit cell (running from 1 to N), $\mathbf{H}$ is the external magnetic field column vector, μ_B is the Bohr magneton. This Hamiltonian covers the magnetism of many Mott insulators.

III. GENERAL IDEA OF THE SOLUTION

S. Petit [11] calculated the general solution of Eq. 1 for commensurate magnetic ground state by introducing a local coordinate transformation for every magnetic atom in the unit cell. This effectively transforms the ground state into ferromagnetic order where the spin wave spectrum is readily calculable. To solve models with an incommensurate ground state, we introduce a preceding coordinate transformation, the rotating frame[17]. It uniformly rotates the magnetic moments in every unit cell by an angle that depends on the magnetic ordering wave vector and the position of the cell transforming the magnetic order into a commensurate one. If the incommensurate magnetic structure can be transformed to a ferromagnetic one with these two subsequent rotations, then the spin wave spectrum will contain a finite number of well defined modes and can be solved by our method. Among the simplest examples of incommensurate magnetic structures are the 120° order of the isotropic triangular lattice antiferromagnet or the helical structure of the J_1-J_2 antiferromagnetic chain model. If the proposed two rotations cannot be constructed, then the spin wave Hamiltonian will contain umklapp terms, that couple magnons with different momentum and the spin wave spectrum will contain a continuum of states. An example of such a magnetic structure is two interacting counter rotating incommensurate spirals which form the ground state of β-$CaCr_2O_4$[18]. The existence of the above two rotations is intimately connected to the symmetry of the magnetic Hamiltonian that will be discussed in Sec. V.

IV. MAGNETIC GROUND STATE

In order to calculate the LSWT solution of the proposed Hamiltonian we need to determine its classical magnetic ground state. Acknowledging that this is often a challenging task, we assume that the solution is *a priori* known. There is an extended literature on the determination of the classical magnetic ground state either using the Luttinger-Tisza method[19,20] or Monte-Carlo simulations[21]. To parametrize the solvable magnetic structures, we use real vectors defining the classical direction of the spins denoted by $\mathbf{S}_{0j}$ in the first magnetic unit cell, while all other $\mathbf{S}_{nj}$ vectors are generated with a rotation of the $\mathbf{S}_{0j}$ vectors by φ_n angle. The classical vector components will be substituted with the corresponding quantum mechanical spin operators in the Hamiltonian. The rotation angle depends on the magnetic ordering wave vector $\mathbf{Q}$ and the $\mathbf{r}_n$ position of the magnetic cell:

$$\varphi_n = \mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{r}_n. \quad (2)$$

The classical spin direction of arbitrary site can be expressed as:

$$\mathbf{S}_{nj} = \mathsf{R}_n \mathbf{S}_{0j}, \tag{3}$$

where R_n is a rotation matrix, that depends only on the φ_n angle about a global axis of rotation $\mathbf{n}$. On periodic crystals magnetic structures can be most conveniently expressed by Fourier coefficients:

$$\mathbf{S}_{nj} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{k}j} \exp(-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_n). \tag{4}$$

Since the classical spin vectors are real vectors, the Fourier coefficients must fulfill the equality:

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{k}j} = \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{-\mathbf{k}j}. \tag{5}$$

It can be shown that the Fourier transform of Eq. 3 can have at most three Fourier components with $\{0, \mathbf{Q}, -\mathbf{Q}\}$ wave vector. We will call these structures a single-Q spin order. The ferromagnetic $\mathbf{F}_{0j}$ component has to be parallel to the $\mathbf{n}$ global rotation axis, while $\mathbf{F}_{\pm\mathbf{Q}j}$ complex vectors define the plane of the spin helix.

To diagonalize the Hamiltonian we transform the classical spin vectors into a ferromagnetic order aligned parallel to the z-axis. The quantum mechanical spin operators will be transformed the same way, where fluctuations will be perpendicular to the local z-axis. First we change to the rotating frame. This defines a new set of operators $\mathbf{S}'_{nj}$:

$$\mathbf{S}_{nj} = \mathsf{R}_n \mathbf{S}'_{nj}. \tag{6}$$

The new $\mathbf{S}'_{nj}$ vectors will be independent of the n index of the unit cell. A second coordinate transformation will rotate every magnetic moment within the unit cell to ferromagnetic order:

$$\mathbf{S}'_{nj} = \mathsf{R}'_j \mathbf{S}''_{nj}. \tag{7}$$

The R'_j matrices describe local rotations which are independent of the position of the unit cell. The third column of R'_j is a unit vector pointing along the spin vector direction in the rotating frame, while the other two columns span an orthogonal coordinate system. The above matrix equation can be rewritten in the form of a sum:

$$\mathbf{S}'^{\alpha}_{nj} = \sum_{\mu} \mathsf{R}'^{\alpha\mu}_{j} \mathbf{S}''^{\mu}_{nj}, \tag{8}$$

where α and μ runs over $\{1, 2, 3\}$. Using the elements of the R'_j matrix, two useful vectors can be defined:

$$\begin{aligned} u^{\alpha}_{j} &= R'^{\alpha 1}_{j} + iR'^{\alpha 2}_{j}, \\ v^{\alpha}_{j} &= R'^{\alpha 3}_{j}, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where $\mathbf{u}_j$ is complex vector and $\mathbf{v}_j$ is a unit vector parallel to the jth spin vector in the rotating frame.



FIG. 1. Rotating coordinate system of a single atom per unit cell magnetic helix, with ordering wave vector of $\mathbf{Q} = (1/8, 0, 0)$. The empty arrows denote the classical spin directions, the dashed squares show the crystallographic unit cells.

V. SYMMETRIES OF THE HAMILTONIAN

In order to simplify the solution of Eq. 1 the symmetries of the magnetic Hamiltonian need to be considered. Beside the lattice translation symmetry, the single-Q magnetic order requires that the magnetic Hamiltonian is invariant under the R_n rotations. These symmetries give constraints on the possible exchange matrices and anisotropies. From now on the A_{mi} anisotropy matrices will be merged into the interaction matrices as $\mathsf{J}_{mi,mi}$ elements.

Due to the underlying periodic lattice, the exchange matrix has to be invariant under translations with arbitrary lattice vector:

$$\mathsf{J}_{mi,nj} = \mathsf{J}_{ij}(\mathbf{d}). \tag{10}$$

The $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{r}_n - \mathbf{r}_m$ is the lattice translation vector between the unit cells of the two interacting spins.

The second symmetry is the invariance under exchange of the two interacting spins. In this case the J matrix has to be transposed, in order to reproduce the sign change of the antisymmetric exchange:

$$\mathsf{J}_{ij}(\mathbf{d}) = \mathsf{J}^{\intercal}_{ji}(-\mathbf{d}). \tag{11}$$

The application of this symmetry ensures that the magnetic Hamiltonian will be Hermitian:

$$\mathsf{J}_{ij}(\mathbf{d}) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathsf{J}_{ij}(\mathbf{d}) + \mathsf{J}^{\intercal}_{ji}(-\mathbf{d})\right). \tag{12}$$

As a consequence all anisotropy matrices have to be symmetric.

The third symmetry is the invariance under the R_n rotation:

$$\mathsf{J}_{ij}(\mathbf{d}) = \mathsf{R}^{\intercal}_n \mathsf{J}_{ij}(\mathbf{d}) \mathsf{R}_n, \ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \tag{13}$$

Here we used the fact that the inverse of the rotation (orthogonal) matrix is its transpose. It will be useful to define the Fourier transform of the interaction matrices:

$$\mathsf{J}_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\mathbf{d}} \mathsf{J}_{ij}(\mathbf{d}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{d}}. \tag{14}$$

It is straightforward to determine the symmetries of $\mathsf{J}_{ij}(\mathbf{k})$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{J}_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) &= \overline{\mathsf{J}_{ij}(-\mathbf{k})},\\ \mathsf{J}_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) &= \overline{\mathsf{J}^{\intercal}_{ji}(\mathbf{k})}.\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

VI. QUADRATIC FORM

In order to solve Eq. 1 we apply linear spin wave theory. LSWT describes the dynamics of small fluctuations of the spins around their classical direction. As long as the expectation value of the spin operator is only weakly reduced from the classical value, the theory works well. This is typically true at low temperatures and large spins and LSWT is often a good approximation for systems with spin-3/2 and above while higher order corrections are certainly necessary for spin-1/2 systems. The expansion of the Hamiltonian as a function of $1/\sqrt{S}$ is achieved using the Holstein-Primakoff approximation[3]. The spin operators are expanded in terms of bosonic creation and annihilation operators on every magnetic site in the local coordinate system. By keeping only the lowest order of the boson operator we create a linear approximation of the complex dynamics:

$$\begin{aligned}S''^{+}_{nj} &= \sqrt{2S_j}\; b_{nj},\\ S''^{-}_{nj} &= \sqrt{2S_j}\; b^{\dagger}_{nj},\\ S''^{z}_{nj} &= S_j - b^{\dagger}_{nj} b_{nj},\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where $b^{\dagger}_{nj}$ and b_{nj} decrease and increase the spin quantum number by one and fulfill the following bosonic commutation relations:

$$[b_{mi}, b^{\dagger}_{nj}] = \delta_{mn}\delta_{ij}. \tag{17}$$

The real space components of the spins operators are the following:

$$\begin{aligned}S''^{1}_{nj} &= \frac{\sqrt{2S_j}}{2}(b_{nj} + b^{\dagger}_{nj}),\\ S''^{2}_{nj} &= \frac{\sqrt{2S_j}}{2i}(b_{nj} - b^{\dagger}_{nj}),\\ S''^{3}_{nj} &= S_i - b^{\dagger}_{nj} b_{nj}.\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Using Eq. 7 the spin operators in the rotating frame can be expressed with the bosonic operators as follows:

$$\mathbf{S}'_{nj} = \sqrt{\frac{S_j}{2}}\left(\overline{\mathbf{u}}_j b_{nj} + \mathbf{u}_j b^{\dagger}_{nj}\right) + \mathbf{v}_j\left(S_j - b^{\dagger}_{nj} b_{nj}\right). \tag{19}$$

After substitution of Eq. 19 and 6 into the Hamiltonian one gets (without the magnetic field):

$$\mathsf{H} = \sum_{\substack{mi\\nj}} \left\{\sqrt{\frac{S_i}{2}}(\overline{\mathbf{u}}^{\intercal}_i b_{mi} + \mathbf{u}^{\intercal}_i b^{\dagger}_{mi}) + \mathbf{v}^{\intercal}_i (S_i - b^{\dagger}_{mi} b_{mi})\right\} \mathsf{R}^{\intercal}_m \mathsf{J}_{mi,nj} \mathsf{R}_n \left\{\sqrt{\frac{S_j}{2}}(\overline{\mathbf{u}}_j b_{nj} + \mathbf{u}_j b^{\dagger}_{nj}) + \mathbf{v}_j (S_j - b^{\dagger}_{nj} b_{nj})\right\}. \tag{20}$$

After expanding the right side in terms of increasing boson operator number, the first term is constant that gives the classical ground state energy. The expectation value of the one operator term vanishes and the two operator term gives the spin wave dispersion. In the linear approximation the higher order terms are neglected. The $\mathsf{R}^{\intercal}_m \mathsf{J}_{mi,nj} \mathsf{R}_n$ term describes a rotation of the interaction matrix depending on the unit cell indices of the interacting magnetic moments. Using the symmetry in Eq. 13, new J' matrices can be defined:

$$\mathsf{J}'_{mi,nj} = \mathsf{R}^{\intercal}_m \mathsf{J}_{mi,nj} \mathsf{R}_n = \mathsf{J}_{mi,nj} \mathsf{R}_{n-m}. \tag{21}$$

It can be shown, that J' has the same symmetries as J. In order to diagonalize the two operator expression the bosonic operators have to be Fourier transformed. The inverse transformation is:

$$b_{mi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in \mathrm{B.Z.}} b_i(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}_m}, \tag{22}$$

where the summation runs over the first Brillouin zone. The two operator terms can be expressed in matrix form:

$$H = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in \mathrm{B.Z.}} \mathbf{x}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) \mathsf{h}(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{k}), \tag{23}$$

where $\mathbf{x}$ is the column vector of the bosonic operators:

$$\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{k}) = \left[b_1(\mathbf{k}), \ldots, b_N(\mathbf{k}), b^{\dagger}_1(-\mathbf{k}), \ldots, b^{\dagger}_N(-\mathbf{k})\right]^{\intercal}. \tag{24}$$

The Hermitian $\mathsf{h}(\mathbf{k})$ matrix consists the following sub-matrices:

$$\mathsf{h}(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{A}(\mathbf{k}) - \mathsf{C} & \mathsf{B}(\mathbf{k}) \\ \mathsf{B}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) & \overline{\mathsf{A}}(-\mathbf{k}) - \mathsf{C} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{25}$$

that contain the following (i, j) elements:

$$\begin{aligned} A(\mathbf{k})^{i,j} &= \frac{\sqrt{S_i S_j}}{2} \mathbf{u}_i^\mathsf{T} \mathsf{J}'_{ij}(-\mathbf{k}) \overline{\mathbf{u}}_j, \\ B(\mathbf{k})^{i,j} &= \frac{\sqrt{S_i S_j}}{2} \mathbf{u}_i^\mathsf{T} \mathsf{J}'_{ij}(-\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{u}_j, \\ C(\mathbf{k})^{i,j} &= \delta_{ij} \sum_l S_l \mathbf{v}_i^\mathsf{T} \mathsf{J}'_{il}(0) \mathbf{v}_l. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

It can be shown that $\mathsf{A}(\mathbf{k})$ is Hermitian and C is real.

To introduce the effect of external magnetic field, we also express the Zeeman term using the bosonic operators. After following the same steps as above, the external field energy in the rotating frame is the following:

$$\mathsf{H}^Z = -\mu_B \sum_{\mathbf{k}j} \mathbf{H}^\mathsf{T} \mathsf{g}_j \mathbf{v}_j b_j^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) b_j(\mathbf{k}). \tag{27}$$

To avoid umklapp terms in the Hamiltonian, the $\mathbf{H}_i^{eff} = \mathsf{g}_i^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{H}$ effective field vector has to be invariant under the R_n rotations. This constrains the effective magnetic field to be parallel to the $\mathbf{n}$ global rotation axis. This Zeeman term has to be added to the $\mathsf{A}(\mathbf{k})$ matrix with the following elements:

$$A^Z(\mathbf{k})^{i,j} = -\frac{1}{2} \mu_B \delta_{ij} \mathbf{H}^\mathsf{T} \mathsf{g}_i \mathbf{v}_i. \tag{28}$$

VII. DIAGONALIZATION OF THE QUADRATIC FORM

In order to determine the spectrum of the quadratic Hamiltonian we need to diagonalize the $\mathsf{h}(\mathbf{k})$ square matrices. Although $\mathsf{h}(\mathbf{k})$ is Hermitian, a simple unitary transformation is not sufficient, since the transformed b'_i operators have to fulfill the bosonic commutation relations as well. This can be only achieved, if $\mathsf{h}(\mathbf{k})$ is positive definite[15], as follows from the fact that the spectrum of the H Hamiltonian has a lower bound. In this case it can be shown, that the diagonalized Hamiltonian has only positive real numbers in the diagonal, that are doubly degenerate. An elegant solution to the diagonalization of a bosonic Hamiltonian is proposed by J. H. P. Colpa [15], we describe his method in the following.

We express the commutation relations of the b_i operators in a matrix form:

$$\left[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^\dagger\right] = \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{x}^*)^\mathsf{T} - (\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{x}^\mathsf{T})^\mathsf{T} = \mathsf{g}, \tag{29}$$

where $\mathbf{x}^*$ is the column matrix of the Hermitian adjoint operators (g is not to be confused with the g_i atomic g-tensors). These commutation relations have to be fulfilled by the new bosonic operators that create the normal spin wave modes. Using the previously defined value of $\mathbf{x}$, the value of the commutator matrix is the following:

$$\mathsf{g} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{30}$$

where $\mathsf{1}$ is the identity matrix, with dimensions of $N \times N$. As the first step of the solution, the Cholesky decomposition has be applied on $\mathsf{h}(\mathbf{k})$ to find the K complex matrix that fulfills the following equation (implicitly assuming the $\mathbf{k}$ dependence):

$$\mathsf{h}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathsf{K}^\dagger \mathsf{K}. \tag{31}$$

Afterwards the eigenvalue problem of the Hermitian $\mathsf{K}\mathsf{g}\mathsf{K}^\dagger$ matrix has to be solved. The resulting $\mathbf{f}_i$ eigenvectors are arranged into the U matrix as column vectors in such a way that the first N diagonal elements of the diagonalized $\mathsf{L} = \mathsf{U}^\dagger \mathsf{K}\mathsf{g}\mathsf{K}^\dagger \mathsf{U}$ matrix are positive and the last N elements are negative. The diagonal matrix is then given by:

$$\mathsf{E} = \mathsf{g}\mathsf{L}, \tag{32}$$

where the first N diagonal $E_i(\mathbf{k}) := E_{ii}$ elements are the energies of the normal spin wave modes that are in general dependent on the $\mathbf{k}$ wave vector. The second N eigenvalues are equal to the first N multiplied by minus one. Each boson mode is a linear combination of the b'_j normal modes:

$$b_i = \sum_j T_{ij} b'_j, \tag{33}$$

where the T transformation matrix can be calculated as:

$$\mathsf{T} = \mathsf{U}\mathsf{E}^{1/2}\mathsf{K}^{-1}. \tag{34}$$

In case the spectrum of the H Hamiltonian contains zero energy modes (e.g. Goldstone modes), the $\mathsf{h}(\mathbf{k})$ matrix will be positive semidefinite at certain $\mathbf{k}$ points. This can be cured by adding a small positive ϵ value to the diagonal of $\mathsf{h}(\mathbf{k})$. It introduces only a negligible gap in the spectrum, but makes the $\mathsf{h}(\mathbf{k})$ matrix positive definite and the problem solvable.

VIII. DYNAMICAL CORRELATION FUNCTIONS

Beside the spin wave dispersion another measurable quantity is the spin-spin correlation function.

This can be directly measured by inelastic neutron scattering as a function of momentum and energy transfer[22]. The dynamical correlation function can be expressed as a 3×3 matrix as a function of momentum and energy:

$$\mathsf{S}(\mathbf{k},\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi N} \sum_{\substack{mi \\ nj}} e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r}_{mi}-\mathbf{r}_{nj})} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\tau \; e^{-i\omega\tau} \langle \mathbf{S}_{mi} \mathbf{S}_{nj}^{\intercal}(\tau) \rangle, \tag{35}$$

where $\mathbf{r}_{mi}$ is the position vector of the magnetic atoms that can be expressed in terms of the relative position vector $\mathbf{t}_i$ of atom i and the $\mathbf{r}_m$ position vector of the mth unit cell:

$$\mathbf{r}_{mi} = \mathbf{r}_m + \mathbf{t}_i. \tag{36}$$

Using Eq. 6 the real space-time spin-spin correlation function in the laboratory frame can be expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{S}_{mi} \mathbf{S}_{nj}^{\intercal}(\tau) \rangle &= \langle \mathsf{R}_m \mathbf{S}'_{mi} \mathbf{S}'^{\intercal}_{nj}(\tau) \mathsf{R}_n^{\intercal} \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathbf{S}'_{mi} \mathbf{S}'^{\intercal}_{nj}(\tau) \rangle \mathsf{R}^{\intercal}_{n-m}, \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

using the fact that the correlation function is invariant under a shift of the origin by any lattice vector. Since the calculated $\langle \mathbf{S}'_{mi} \mathbf{S}'^{\intercal}_{nj}(\tau) \rangle$ expression is not necessarily invariant under the R_n rotation due to the arbitrary choice of the zeroth cell, the symmetrization (denoted by $\langle ... \rangle_{\mathsf{R}}$) is achieved by the following integral:

$$\langle \mathbf{S}'_{mi} \mathbf{S}'^{\intercal}_{nj}(\tau) \rangle_{\mathsf{R}} = \int_{\varphi=0}^{2\pi} \langle \mathbf{S}'_{mi} \mathbf{S}'^{\intercal}_{nj}(\tau) \rangle \mathsf{R}(\varphi). \tag{38}$$

To perform the Fourier transform on this expression, the R_n matrices have to be split into different periodic components as a function of the lattice translation vector $\mathbf{r}_n$. This can be achieved using Rodrigues' formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{R}(\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_n) &= e^{i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{r}_n} \mathsf{R}_1 + e^{-i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{r}_n} \overline{\mathsf{R}}_1 + \mathsf{R}_2, \\ \mathsf{R}_1 &= 1/2 \; \left(1 - i \left[\mathbf{n}\right]_\times - \mathbf{n}\mathbf{n}^{\intercal}\right), \\ \mathsf{R}_2 &= \mathbf{n}\mathbf{n}^{\intercal}, \\ \left[\mathbf{n}\right]_\times &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -n_z & n_y \\ n_z & 0 & -n_x \\ -n_y & n_x & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

After substitution into to Eq. 35, one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{S}(\mathbf{k},\omega) =& \mathsf{S}'(\mathbf{k},\omega)\mathsf{R}_2 + \mathsf{S}'(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q},\omega)\mathsf{R}_1 + \\ &+ \mathsf{S}'(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{Q},\omega)\overline{\mathsf{R}}_1, \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

where $\mathsf{S}'(\mathbf{k},\omega)$ is the correlation function in the rotating frame calculated from $\mathbf{S}'_{nj}$ operators:

$$\mathsf{S}'(\mathbf{k},\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\tau \; e^{-i\omega\tau} \mathsf{S}'(\mathbf{k},\tau). \tag{41}$$

In this form it is clear that the correlation function of incommensurate spin structures has a magnon dispersion at $\omega(\mathbf{k} \pm \mathbf{Q})$ in addition to that at $\omega(\mathbf{k})$. In case the magnetic atoms are on a Bravais lattice, the $\mathsf{S}'(\mathbf{k},\omega)$ correlation describe rigid rotation of the spins in the ordering plane, this mode is called phason, while the $\mathsf{S}'(\mathbf{k} \pm \mathbf{Q},\omega)$ correlations describe the canting of the spins away from the ordering plane[23].

The $\mathsf{S}'(\mathbf{k},\omega)$ correlation functions can be calculated, using the definition of Eq. 19 and keeping only the two operator terms. Four operator terms appearing in the correlation function lead to a continuum of two magnon scattering, that is disregarded here but also calculable using our framework. As a first step, the spatial Fourier transform is calculated:

$$\begin{aligned} S'^{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{k},\tau) =& \frac{1}{N} \sum_{ij} \Bigg\{ e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{t}_i - \mathbf{t}_j)} \frac{\sqrt{S_i S_j}}{2} \langle \begin{bmatrix} b_i^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}), b_i(-\mathbf{k}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_i^{\alpha}\overline{u}_j^{\beta} & u_i^{\alpha}u_j^{\beta} \\ \overline{u}_i^{\alpha}\overline{u}_j^{\beta} & \overline{u}_i^{\alpha}u_j^{\beta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_j(\mathbf{k},\tau) \\ b_j^{\dagger}(-\mathbf{k},\tau) \end{bmatrix} \rangle - \\ &- \delta(\mathbf{k} - \boldsymbol{\kappa}) \nu_i^{\alpha} \nu_j^{\beta} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} \langle S_i b_j^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}') b_j(\mathbf{k}') + S_j b_i^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}') b_i(\mathbf{k}') \rangle \Bigg\}. \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

The the first term describes a time dependent scattering process, while the second term describes the reduction of the static ordered moment due to magnon population. The $\delta(\mathbf{k}-\boldsymbol{\kappa})$ expression is nonzero at the $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ reciprocal lattice vectors in the rotating coordinate system, that are identical to the magnetic Bragg peak positions in a lab coordinate system. The dynamical part of the correlation function in matrix form is:

$$S'^{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{k},\tau) = \frac{1}{2N}\langle \mathbf{x}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{Y}^{\alpha\beta} & \mathsf{Z}^{\alpha\beta} \\ \mathsf{V}^{\alpha\beta} & \mathsf{W}^{\alpha\beta} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{k},\tau)\rangle. \tag{43}$$

This is a sum of the expectation values of boson operator pairs with the following coefficients, the (i,j) elements of the four sub matrices with dimensions of $N \times N$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left[Y^{\alpha\beta}\right]^{i,j} &= \sqrt{S_i S_j}\; e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{t}_i-\mathbf{t}_j)} u_i^\alpha \overline{u}_j^\beta, \\ \left[Z^{\alpha\beta}\right]^{i,j} &= \sqrt{S_i S_j}\; e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{t}_i-\mathbf{t}_j)} u_i^\alpha u_j^\beta, \\ \left[V^{\alpha\beta}\right]^{i,j} &= \sqrt{S_i S_j}\; e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{t}_i-\mathbf{t}_j)} \overline{u}_i^\alpha \overline{u}_j^\beta, \\ \left[W^{\alpha\beta}\right]^{i,j} &= \sqrt{S_i S_j}\; e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{t}_i-\mathbf{t}_j)} \overline{u}_i^\alpha u_j^\beta. \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

The expectation value of the new bosonic operators must also be determined in order to evaluate the term within the brackets $\langle \mathbf{x}^\dagger ... \mathbf{x}\rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle b_i'^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) b_j'(\mathbf{k},\tau)\rangle &= \delta_{ij} n(\omega_i(\mathbf{k})) e^{-i\omega_i(\mathbf{k})\tau}, \\ \langle b_i'(\mathbf{k}) b_j'^\dagger(\mathbf{k},\tau)\rangle &= \delta_{ij}(1+n(\omega_i(\mathbf{k}))) e^{i\omega_i(\mathbf{k})\tau}, \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

where $\omega_i = E_i/\hbar$ and $n(\omega_i)$ is the Bose factor at temperature T:

$$n(\omega_i) = \frac{1}{e^{\hbar\omega_i/k_B T}-1}. \tag{46}$$

After substituting $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{k})$ with the normal boson operators and performing the temporal (τ) Fourier transformation of the dynamical correlation functions, one gets the final expression:

$$S'^{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{k},\omega) = \frac{1}{2N}\sum_{i=1}^{2N} \left[\mathsf{T}^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{Y}^{\alpha\beta} & \mathsf{Z}^{\alpha\beta} \\ \mathsf{V}^{\alpha\beta} & \mathsf{W}^{\alpha\beta} \end{bmatrix} \mathsf{T}\right]_{ii} \delta(\omega - g_{ii}\omega_i)\left(n(\omega) + \frac{1}{2}(1-g_{ii})\right). \tag{47}$$

In this equation the g_{ii} diagonal elements of the g commutation matrix are used to produce the right magnon populations for the diagonal terms, it is also assumed that $g_{ii}\omega_i$ is sorted in decreasing order with the mode index i. Since all ω_i eigenvalues are positive, there will be N positive and N negative energies in the correlation function expression. To get an overview, one has to substitute Eq. 47 into the neutron scattering cross section formula. Then it is clear, that the first N $\langle b_i^\dagger b_i\rangle$ expectation values describe the probability of a neutron absorbing one magnon, while the $\langle b_i b_i^\dagger\rangle$ terms describe the magnon creation process. Since $\mathsf{S}'(\mathbf{k},\omega)$ has N spin wave modes, a general incommensurate spin structure will have $3N$ measurable spin wave modes.

IX. SUBLATTICE MAGNETIZATION

Linear spin wave theory also gives the leading correction to the size of the sublattice magnetization. This is reduced from the single ion moment value due to zero point quantum fluctuations and thermally excited spin waves at $T > 0$. The sublattice magnetization reduction is independent of the moment size. The absolute value of the reduced moment:

$$|\langle \mathbf{S}_j\rangle| = \frac{1}{L}\sum_n |\langle \mathbf{S}_{nj}\rangle| = S_j - \frac{1}{L}\sum_n \langle b_{nj}^+ b_{nj}\rangle. \tag{48}$$

The above summation can be accomplished using the Fourier transformed bosonic operator (the $\mathbf{k}$ sum runs over the first Brillouin zone):

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S_j &= -\frac{1}{L}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in \text{B.Z.}} \langle b_j^+(\mathbf{k}) b_j(\mathbf{k})\rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{L}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in \text{B.Z.}} \langle \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{k})\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{k})^\dagger)\rangle_{j+N,j+N}, \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

where $\langle\rangle_{j+N,j+N}$ denotes the diagonal elements of the dyadic matrix containing the expectation values. Using the T transformation matrix and the expectation values of the normal boson operator pairs

(Eq. 45) the result is the following matrix equation:

$$\delta S_j = -\frac{1}{L}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in \mathrm{B.Z.}} \left\{\mathsf{T}(\mathbf{k})\mathsf{D}(\mathbf{k})\mathsf{T}(\mathbf{k})^\dagger\right\}_{j+N,j+N}, \quad (50)$$

where $\mathsf{D}(\mathbf{k})$ is a diagonal matrix that contains the $\langle \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{k})'\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{k})'^\dagger\rangle$ expectation value of the normal bosonic operators.

X. ALGORITHM

In this section, we show how the above described general solution can be implemented into an algorithm. The input parameters of the calculation are the couplings and the magnetic structure. The couplings are stored in a list together with the anisotropy matrices. Every coupling is defined by several parameters. For the lth coupling $\mathbf{d}_l$ gives the distance vector between the origin of the unit cells of the interacting atoms, i_l and j_l are the indices of the interacting atoms and J_l is the 3×3 matrix of the interaction. For single ion anisotropy $\mathbf{d}_l = 0$ and $i_l = j_l$. Thus the input list is the following $\{\mathbf{d}, i, j, \mathsf{J}\}_l$. To unambiguously define the magnetic structure, we need the classical spin direction of the N magnetic atoms as $\mathbf{S}_i$ vectors, the $\mathbf{Q}$ ordering wave vector and the $\mathbf{n}$ normal vector. The first step of the calculation is to define a local Descartes coordinate system with axes $\{\mathbf{e}^1, \mathbf{e}^2, \mathbf{e}^3\}_i$ for each $\mathbf{S}_i$ classical spin. As the $\mathbf{e}_i^3$ vector is parallel to the spin direction, the $\mathbf{v}_i$ and complex $\mathbf{u}_i$ vectors are defined as:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{u}_i &= \mathbf{e}_i^1 + i\mathbf{e}_i^2, \\ \mathbf{v}_i &= \mathbf{e}^3.\end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

For incommensurate structures one has to calculate the J_l' matrices by multiplying J_l matrices on the right side with a rotation matrix that rotates around $\mathbf{n}$ by the angle $\varphi = 2\pi\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{d}$. To calculate the spin wave spectrum at $\mathbf{k}$ reciprocal space position, we need to calculate the A, B, C matrices. To calculate these, we run a summation over the l index of the list of couplings. Each l value is associated with an (i, j) index representing the indices of the interacting atoms in the unit cell. Thus the (i, j) element of A, B, C get an additional term according to Eq. 26 where $\mathsf{J}'(\mathbf{k})_l$ is simply determined by the following equation:

$$\mathsf{J}'(\mathbf{k})_l = \mathsf{J}_l' \exp(2\pi\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{d}_l). \quad (52)$$

Finally the vector of spin wave energies at $\mathbf{k}$ can be calculated from the $\mathsf{h}(\mathbf{k})$ matrix according to Section VII. The algorithm is available as open source in the form of a Matlab toolbox[14].

XI. SPIN WAVE THEORY OF α-$CaCr_2O_4$

As an example, we calculate the spin wave spectrum of the distorted triangular lattice antiferromagnet α-$CaCr_2O_4$[24–26]. It has magnetic Cr^{3+} ions with $S = 3/2$ spins arranged onto a stacked triangular lattice. It develops incommensurate magnetic order below $T_N = 42.6$ K with ordering wave vector $\mathbf{Q} = (0, 0.3317(2), 0)$. The magnetic structure is planar in the ac-plane, where the angle between nearest neighbors is $(Q_y + 1)/4 \cdot 360^\circ = 119.8^\circ$ and the layers are stacked antiferromagnetically. To explain the observed ground state, a classical phase diagram was calculated as a function of the different exchange parameters[25]. Four different first neighbor and four different second neighbor interactions in the triangular plane as well as three different interplane coupling are allowed by the crystal symmetry. The different inplane interactions are shown in Fig. 2. The J_{ch1} and J_{ch2} interactions create linear chains along the b-axis, while the J_{zz1} and J_{zz2} interactions give zig-zag chains along the b-axis. In order to get a handle on the 11 dimensional parameter space of the couplings the model had to be simplified. The three different interplane couplings are assumed to be equal since they are much weaker than the inplane first neighbor couplings ($J_{int} \approx 3\cdot 10^{-3} J_0$). Furthermore the second neighbor couplings were fixed to be equal. By the analysis of the calculated classical phase diagram, it was found that as long as

$$\Delta_3 = J_{zz1} + J_{zz2} - J_{ch1} - J_{ch2} = 0 \quad (53)$$

and all interactions are antiferromagnetic, the ground state is the 120° structure as observed experimentally. Changing Δ_3 away from zero continuously changes the $\mathbf{Q}$ ordering wave vector.

The strength of the exchange parameters were determined from fitting the spin wave spectrum to the inelastic neutron scattering data and constraining the ground state to the observed magnetic structure. A minimum of 9 different parameters were necessary to fit the observed spectrum adequately, all four second neighbor interactions had to be varied. The best solution is given by the parameters shown in Table I.

The details of the calculation is simplified here to a single triangular plane of α-$CaCr_2O_4$. The rounded $\mathbf{t}_i$ positions of the magnetic atoms are shown in Table II, the indices of the four atoms correspond to the indices on Fig. 2. The magnetic structure is described by the $\mathbf{Q} = (0, 1/3, 0)$ ordering wave vector, $\mathbf{n} = (0, 1, 0)$ normal vector and the magnetic moment directions in the first unit cell, shown in Tab. II. The magnetic moment directions define the $\mathbf{u}_i$ and $\mathbf{v}_i$ vectors, where the complex $\mathbf{u}_i$



FIG. 2. Single bc-plane of α-$CaCr_2O_4$. The four colored lines and the grey lines show the first and second neighbor interactions respectively. Black arrows denote the 120° magnetic structure rotated into the bc-plane for better visibility.

TABLE I. Precise values of the fitted exchange parameters, data taken from Ref. 26.

Name	J_{zz1}	J_{zz2}	J_{ch1}	J_{ch2}	J_{int}
Value (meV)	5.817	11.783	9.064	8.536	0.026
Cr–Cr dist. (Å)	2.939	2.889	2.911	2.907	5.529
Name	J_{nnn1}	J_{nnn2}	J_{nnn3}	J_{nnn4}	
Value (meV)	0.109	0.308	0.956	0.895	

depends on the choice of coordinate system. The list of interactions is shown in Table III. Before generating the matrix of the Hamiltonian, one has to ensure that the interaction matrices fulfill all necessary symmetries defined in Sec. V by applying Eq. 12. To generate the J' transformed interaction matrices, we need to construct the R_{n-m} rotations. The R_{n-m} matrices introduce rotations around the $\mathbf{n}$ normal vector by the $\varphi_{n-m} = 2\pi\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{n-m}$ angle that can have only three different values $\{0, \varphi_0, 2\varphi_0\}$, where $\varphi_0 = 2\pi/3$. The three rotation matrices are $\{1, \mathsf{R}_0, \mathsf{R}_0^2\}$, where R_0 rotates around the b-axis by 120° with the following elements:

$$\mathsf{R}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} -1/2 & 0 & -\sqrt{3}/2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sqrt{3}/2 & 0 & -1/2 \end{bmatrix}. \qquad (54)$$

Finally, to calculate the spin-spin correlation function at a general $\mathbf{k}$ momentum, one has to calculate the $\mathsf{J}'(\mathbf{k})_l$ matrices and follow the steps defined in Sec. VIII. The calculated spin wave spectrum of the more complex model with antiferromagnetic stacking is shown on Fig. 3(a). The color scale shows the $S^{xx}+S^{yy}+S^{zz}$ sum of the diagonal of the spin-spin correlation function convoluted in energy with a Gaussian of full width at half maximum of 1.5 meV. This diagonal term is independent of the neutron scattering setup. The black lines denote the $\omega(\mathbf{k})$ spin wave modes, the dispersion lines of the $\omega(\mathbf{k}\pm\mathbf{Q})$ modes that appear in the correlation function are omitted for sake of simplicity. These are eight spin wave modes, which is equal to the number of magnetic atoms in the crystallographic unit cell of α-$CaCr_2O_4$. However these modes are doubly degenerate at high energies where the two triangular planes are effectively decoupled. At low energies the modes are non-degenerate due to the small but finite antiferromagnetic interplane coupling. Unlike the spectrum of the spatially isotropic triangular lattice antiferromagnet, here the M and M' points are inequivalent. Since experimentally available α-$CaCr_2O_4$ crystals contain three crystallographic twins, rotated by 60° and 120° around the a-axis, the observed spectrum at the M point contains both the spectrum at M and M'[26]. The soft mode at M is also visible on a constant energy cut at $E = 3.5$ meV, see Fig. 3(b). This plot also reveals a more complex wave vector dependence of the spectrum than that of the spatially isotropic triangular lattice.

TABLE II. $\mathbf{t}_i$ positions of the ith magnetic Cr^{3+} ion of a single triangular layer in lattice units used for the spin wave calculation and the $\mathbf{v}_i$ and $\mathbf{u}_i$ vectors defined by the magnetic structure.

i	$\mathbf{t}_i$	$\mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{S}_{0i}/\lvert\mathbf{S}_{0i}\rvert$	$\mathbf{u}_i$
1	$(0, 1/4, 0)$	$(0, 0, -1)$	$(1, -i, 0)$
2	$(0, 3/4, 0)$	$(\sqrt{3}/2, 0, 1/2)$	$(1/2, i, -\sqrt{3}/2)$
3	$(0, 0, 1/2)$	$(\sqrt{3}/2, 0, 1/2)$	$(1/2, i, -\sqrt{3}/2)$
4	$(0, 1/2, 1/2)$	$(-\sqrt{3}/2, 0, 1/2)$	$(1/2, i, \sqrt{3}/2)$

XII. SUMMARY

In this paper we described a general algorithm to calculate dynamical spin-spin correlation function using linear spin wave theory on systems with incommensurate magnetic ordering. The method can accommodate models where the interacting atoms have different spin quantum numbers. It also includes a general single ion anisotropy, anisotropic



FIG. 3. Calculated spin wave spectrum of α-$CaCr_2O_4$. (a) Dispersion along high symmetry directions. Black lines denote the 8 calculated $\omega_i(\mathbf{k})$ dispersion, while the color code shows the diagonal sum of the spin-spin correlation function $\mathsf{S}'(\mathbf{k},\omega)$. (b) Spin-spin correlation function in the $(1,k,l)$ triangular plane at constant $E = 3.5$ meV energy, arrows show the scan directions for (a).

and antisymmetric exchange interactions. The main idea behind the general solution is to define a local coordinate system that transforms the incommensurate magnetic structure into ferromagnetic order by the consecutive application of two rotations. First a global rotation, that transforms the incommensurate structure into a commensurate one. Secondly a local rotation on every moment within the crystallographic unit cells. This method enables the calculation of the spin wave spectrum of incommensurate systems, that was achieved without using a large supercell and an approximate ordering wave vector[27–29]. We also showed the necessary steps that define an algorithm, that is available under GNU general public license[14]. Finally we showed how the algorithm can be used to calculate the incommensurate spectrum of α-$CaCr_2O_4$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement n.° 290605 (COFUND: PSI-FELLOW).

* sandor.toth@psi.ch

[1] F. Bloch, Zeitschrift für Physik **61**, 206 (1930).
[2] J. Slater, Physical Review **35**, 509 (1930).
[3] T. Holstein and H. Primakoff, Physical Review **58**, 1098 (1940).
[4] F. Dyson, Physical Review **102**, 1230 (1956).
[5] F. Dyson, Physical Review **102**, 1217 (1956).
[6] T. Fennell, P. P. Deen, A. R. Wildes, K. Schmalzl, D. Prabhakaran, A. T. Boothroyd, R. J. Aldus, D. F. McMorrow, and S. T. Bramwell, Science **326**, 415 (2009).
[7] D. J. P. Morris, D. A. Tennant, S. A. Grigera, B. Klemke, C. Castelnovo, R. Moessner, C. Czternasty, M. Meissner, K. C. Rule, J.-U. Hoffmann, K. Kiefer, S. Gerischer, D. Slobinsky, and R. S. Perry, Science **326**, 411 (2009).
[8] L. Balents, Nature **464**, 199 (2010).
[9] D. Khomskii, Physics **2**, 20 (2009).
[10] J. Kim, a. H. Said, D. Casa, M. H. Upton, T. Gog, M. Daghofer, G. Jackeli, J. van den Brink, G. Khaliullin, and B. J. Kim, Physical Review Letters **109**, 157402 (2012).
[11] S. Petit, École thématique de la Société Française de la Neutronique **12**, 105 (2011).
[12] M. E. Zhitomirsky and a. L. Chernyshev, Reviews of Modern Physics **85**, 219 (2013),.
[13] M. Mourigal, W. T. Fuhrman, a. L. Chernyshev, and M. E. Zhitomirsky, Physical Review B **88**, 094407 (2013).
[14] `https://wiki.helmholtz-berlin.de/spinw`.
[15] J. Colpa, Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications **93**, 327 (1978).
[16] A. Kitaev, Annals of Physics **321**, 2 (2006),.
[17] A. Chernyshev and M. E. Zhitomirsky, Physical Review B **79**, 144416 (2009).

TABLE III. List of the J matrices with the i and j indices of the interacting ions, $\mathbf{d}$ distance vector in lattice units and the R rotation matrices that define J'.

Name	i	j	$\mathbf{d}$	$\mathsf{R}(\mathbf{d})$
J_{ch1}	1	2	$(0,0,0)$	1
	2	1	$(0,1,0)$	R_0
J_{ch2}	3	4	$(0,-1,0)$	R_0^2
	4	3	$(0,0,0)$	1
J_{zz1}	3	1	$(0,0,1)$	1
	4	2	$(0,0,0)$	1
	3	2	$(0,-1,0)$	R_0^2
	4	1	$(0,0,1)$	1
J_{zz2}	3	2	$(0,-1,1)$	R_0^2
	4	1	$(0,0,0)$	1
	3	1	$(0,0,0)$	1
	4	2	$(0,0,1)$	1
J_{nnn4}	1	3	$(0,1,-1)$	R_0
	2	4	$(0,1,0)$	R_0
	2	3	$(0,0,0)$	1
	1	4	$(0,-1,-1)$	R_0^2
J_{nnn4}	1	3	$(0,1,0)$	R_0
	2	4	$(0,1,-1)$	R_0
	2	3	$(0,0,-1)$	1
	1	4	$(0,-1,0)$	R_0^2
J_{nnn2}	1	1	$(0,0,1)$	1
	2	2	$(0,0,-1)$	1
J_{nnn1}	3	3	$(0,0,1)$	1
	4	4	$(0,0,-1)$	1

[18] F. Damay, C. Martin, V. Hardy, A. Maignan, G. André, K. Knight, S. R. Giblin, and L. C. Chapon, Physical Review B **81**, 214405 (2010).

[19] J. Luttinger and L. Tisza, Physical Review **70**, 954 (1946).

[20] T. a. Kaplan and N. Menyuk, Philosophical Magazine **87**, 3711 (2007).

[21] P. Lacorre and J. Pannetier, Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials **71**, 63 (1987).

[22] W. Marshall and S. W. Lovesey (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1971) Chap. 9.

[23] R. Coldea, D. Tennant, and Z. Tylczynski, Physical Review B **68**, 134424 (2003).

[24] L. C. Chapon, P. Manuel, F. Damay, P. Toledano, V. Hardy, and C. Martin, Physical Review B **83**, 024409 (2011).

[25] S. Toth, B. Lake, S. A. J. Kimber, O. Pieper, M. Reehuis, A. T. M. N. Islam, O. Zaharko, C. Ritter, A. H. Hill, H. Ryll, K. Kiefer, D. N. Argyriou, and A. J. Williams, Physical Review B **84**, 054452 (2011).

[26] S. Toth, B. Lake, K. Hradil, T. Guidi, K. Rule, M. Stone, and A. Islam, Physical Review Letters **109**, 127203 (2012),.

[27] F. Damay, C. Martin, V. Hardy, A. Maignan, C. Stock, and S. Petit, Physical Review B **84**, 020402 (2011).

[28] M. Loire, V. Simonet, S. Petit, K. Marty, P. Bordet, P. Lejay, J. Ollivier, M. Enderle, P. Steffens, E. Ressouche, A. Zorko, and R. Ballou, Physical Review Letters **106**, 207201 (2011).

[29] M. Poienar, F. Damay, C. Martin, J. Robert, and S. Petit, Physical Review B **81**, 104411 (2010).